

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/020,721	12/14/2001	Motonao Nakao	033808/027 2535	9615	
7590 10/23/2002 Pillsbury Winthrop LLP			EXAMINER		
Suite 200 11975 El Camino Real			CHUNDURU, SURYAPRABHA		
San Diego, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1637 DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002	. 6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
34			NAKAO ET AL.			
	Office Andrew Commence	10/020,721	Art Unit			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	Suryaprabha Chunduru	1637			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet v	, and the control of			
A SHO THE M - Extens after S - If the p	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI (S) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above.	IN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
. Anv re	e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sight received by the Office later than three months after the man adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nailing date of this communication, even	il timely fled, may reduce any			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> ☐		This action is non-final.	the marite is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
_	Claim(s) $1-6$ is/are pending in the application	tion.				
الحطارة	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·			
,	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.					
1 –	The second secon					
7)[and/or election requirement.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)∐	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	ge provisional application has omestic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachme		∧ □ 1-4	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	48) 5) Notic	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/020,721

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-4) in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.

2. The Information Disclosure Statement (Paper No. 3) filed on July 30, 2002 has been entered and considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The instant claims recite 'detecting spots' which is unclear and confusing because it is not clear what these spots refer to, (that is do they represent detection of PCR-amplified base sequences or hybridization event). Amendment to properly reciting the invention would obviate this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/020,721

Art Unit: 1637

the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanley (WO 98/22620) in view of Burgart et al.

With reference to the instant claim 1, Stanley teaches a method of detecting PCRamplified base sequences, wherein Cronin et al. disclose that the method comprises conducting PCR amplification by mixing one or more PCR primers with the sample to amplifying a target nucleic acid of a sample (see page 4, lines 3-11, lines 16-22); conducting a hybridization reaction using a substrate-bound oligonucleotides (complementary to the target sequences) and detecting the hybridized PCR products on the substrate(see page 5, lines 11-19). With reference to the instant claim 3, Stanley teaches that the method comprises oligonucleotide primers having a base number ranging from 23-24 (seepage 14, lines 31-33).

Stanley also discloses that the method utilizes oligonulceotide primers to amplify a target nucleic acid and also used as probes to detect PCR amplified products in hybridization assay (see page 7, lines 1-4, page 16, lines 25-36, page 17, lines 1-18, and page 22, lines 4-9). However, Stanley did not teach plurality of primer pairs (multiplex PCR).

Burgart et al. teach a multiplex PCR wherein Bugart et al. disclose that the method comprises plurality of primer sets to amplify target regions in a sample (see page 320, abstract, column 3, paragraphs 1-2, page 321, column 1 and column 2). With reference to the instant claims 2 and 4, Burgart et al. also discloses that the method comprises detection of PCR-

Application/Control Number: 10/020,721

Art Unit: 1637

amplified products by fluorescent intercalating material, which intercalates double-stranded DNA (see page 321, column 2, lines 36-40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the method of detecting PCR-amplified base sequences by utilizing PCR primers for amplification and for detection of PCR amplified products in hybridization as probes, as taught by Stanley with the multiplex PCR detection of PCRamplified products as taught by Burgart et al., which is applicable to detect plurality of target regions in a sample because Burgart states that 'multiplex PCR allows amplification of more than one fragments of DNA and reduces number of reaction tubes, conserves expensive reagents, decreases time required for experimentation and minimizes possible contamination' (see page 320, abstract, and paragraph 2). An ordinary practitioner would have been motivated to combine the method of detecting PCR-amplified products via hybridization as taught by Stanley with the use of plurality of PCR primers as taught by Burgart et al. for the advantages of reducing and conserving expensive reagents for PCR amplification by limiting the PCR amplification to plurality of primer pairs for expected benefit of analyzing multiple target sequences simultaneously on a solid support.

Conclusion

No claims are allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suryaprabha Chunduru whose telephone number is 703-305-1004. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30A.M. - 4.30P.M, Mon - Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,721

Art Unit: 1637

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and - for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Suryaprabha Chunduru October 18, 2002

> JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER